## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FO	R THE ELEVENTH CIRC	TIIT
10.	R THE LEE VENTH CIRC	
_	No. 04-14790 Non-Argument Calendar	FILED U.S. COURT OF APPEALS ELEVENTH CIRCUIT MAY 10, 2005 THOMAS K. KAHN
D. C.	Docket No. 01-08837-CV	CLERK C-KL <u>R</u>
CHARLES VAVRUS,		
		Plaintiff-Appellant,
versus		
JOSEPH RUSSO, MARK HENDRICKSON, 6	et al	
ivi fidi filli vibidense i v, c		
		Defendants-Appellees.
<b>*</b> *	From the United States Distantiation the Southern District of Flo	
	( May 10, 2005 )	
Before CARNES, MARCU	S and COX, Circuit Judges	J.

Charles Vavrus filed this civil action against the City of Palm Beach Gardens and various officials of the City. The amended complaint asserts three claims under

PER CURIAM:

42 U.S.C. § 1983: (1) an Equal Protection claim; (2) a substantive due process claim; and (3) a conspiracy claim grounded in the two substantive claims. The district court granted the defendants summary judgment on the merits on all three claims. Vavrus appeals, contending that the court erroneously granted summary judgment on each claim.

The district court's detailed opinion concludes that the Equal Protection claims lack merit because the Plaintiff produced no evidence that he was treated differently from similarly situated persons. (R.1-176 at 27-29.) We find no reversible error in that determination. We also agree that the substantive due process claims lack merit, and find no reversible error in the district court's determination that the claims are meritless. (*Id.* at 29-31.) And, the conspiracy claims were properly dismissed because they were grounded in substantive constitutional claims that are meritless.

## AFFIRMED.